

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/507,289                              | 09/10/2004    | Stefan Koch          | 3092                      | 2756             |
| 75                                      | 90 09/07/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                  |                  |
| Striker Striker & Stenby                |               |                      | DUDA, RINA I              |                  |
| 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743 |               |                      | ART UNIT                  | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Training.on, TV                         | ,             |                      | 2837                      |                  |
|   |               |                      | D. TELLA IV ED 00/07/0005 |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | A)   | K |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |
|   | 10/507,289  | KOCH ET AL.  |   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |
|   | Rina I. Duda  | 2837   |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence address  |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC<br>1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ro<br>od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON<br>ute, cause the application to become AB | CATION.  Exply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |   |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  | nis action is non-final.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow   | vance except for formal matt  | ers, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under  | r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D   | . 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application  | on.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | /or election requirement.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami  | ner.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 September 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan   | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  | ection is required if the drawing   | s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | Examiner. Note the attached   | Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure  | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in A<br>riority documents have been   | pplication No  |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a li  | st of the certified copies not  | received.  |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   |   | ummary (PTO-413)   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/10/04.</li> </ol>  |   | :)/Mail Date<br>Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>   |   |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2837

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 1. The disclosure is objected to because it does not contain section headings.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,289 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because it contains boxes that have not been textually labeled. See 37 CFR 1.83(a). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,289 Page 4

Art Unit: 2837

4. Regarding claims 1 and 7, the phrase "in particular" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Uematsu et al (US Patent 6433503).

Claims 1 and 7, Uematsu et al describe a control circuit for an electric machine comprising position detectors 23 for detecting the rotation of motor 22 and a commutation controller 10 for switching the current through the motor based on the information from the position detector, wherein controller 10 includes a delay circuit 17 for setting a delayed commutation time, see description of figure 2.

Claim 2, Uematsu et al describe that commutation controller includes a digital signal processor 10 with delay circuits 17 and 18.

Claim 3, Uematsu et al describe that the timing circuits control the power to power end stage 21 as described in column 7.

Claim 4, Uematsu et al describe in column 7 lines 12-15 that the position detectors are Hall IC detectors.

Claims 5 and 9, Uematsu et al describe that circuit 18 measures the time between the signal from the position detector and the output signal from the controller and the timing is stored to be used later in the comparison between the timing of the rotor and the command time.

Claim 8, Uematsu et al describe a speed detector 19 for detecting the speed of the motor based on the position signal from the transducer 23, the driving circuit 21 controls the timing of the driving signal from the controller based on the signal from speed detector 19.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uematsu et al (US Patent 6433503) and Müller (US Patent 4374347).

The difference between the subject matter of claims 6 and 10 and the teachings of Uematsu et al is that the claims recite a motor winding having two wound coils. However, Müller describes a motor drive system including a position detector 25, a controller 42 for controlling the commutation of motor winding 20/21, which is connected to battery 34 based on the output signal from detector 25. Therefore, it would have

Application/Control Number: 10/507,289 Page 6

Art Unit: 2837

obvious to use motor winding with two coils since using dual-strand windings provide for tight coupling so energy stored in the inductance of the winding can be recuperated by coupling to the other one of the strands of the winding when disconnecting the motor winding.

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The documents cited in form PTO-892 describe other systems for controlling commutation of electric motors using Hall Ics.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10. examiner should be directed to Rina I. Duda whose telephone number is 571-272-2062.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). HINA DUDA VARY EXA

RD